

*Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
1. Are there examples of	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Closed 2/16/11
implementation that	2/23: This issue to be handled as part of Goals, Vision, Framework discussion. See the	
could help illuminate the	discussion in issue #3 of this matrix.	
redevelopment of		
underutilized properties,		
second bullet of FW-10?		
(Biethan, Miller)	Commission's concurrent review of the Goals, Vision, and Framework Element.	
Policy as initially	Public Comment:	
proposed:		
FW-10 Ensure that the	PC Comments:	
land use pattern in	2/16: Planning Commission approved closing this issue while holding open issue #3	
Redmond meets the	because the discussion of issue #3 satisfies this issue.	
following objectives:		
	2/9: Commissioner Biethan and Miller requested additional information, such as	
- Encourages	examples, to help clarify the intent of this bullet in encouraging redevelopment of	
redevelopment of	properties that are underutilized or inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan	
properties that are	designation.	
underutilized or		
inconsistent with the		
Comprehensive Plan		
designation.		
2. *- What is the	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened 2/9/11,
relationship between	3/9: Staff notes that the language summarizing Redmond's policies regarding	discussed 2/16/11,
agricultural and rural	agricultural uses may be added to the Land Use Element in the form of explanatory text	closed 3/2/11
uses and recreation?	or policy.	
(Biethan, Miller,		Reconciliation list
Hinman)	3/2: Based on clarification provided by Commissioner Miller, staff recommends	
11000000)	drafting policy language that summarizes Redmond's protection and encouragement of	
	agricultural uses, inside and adjacent to Redmond, during the reconciliation phase of the	
	Comprehensive Plan Update.	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	This would allow for the draft policy language to be consistent with the change in zoning that is scheduled to occur on April 5th when City Council is expected to act on the proposed change of Agricultural zoning to Urban Recreation zoning.	
	2/23: Staff is working with Commissioner Miller to further explore the issue and identify a potential resolution.	
	2/16: Staff provided information relevant to agricultural uses during the study session, such as: Redmond's policies related to the urban growth boundary, preservation of rural land outside the urban growth boundary, agricultural uses allowed and protected by Urban Recreation zoning.	
	Through GMA, cities accommodate and promote urban activities within their boundaries and within the Urban Growth Areas as defined by King County and agreed to by cities in the County. Cities may be allowed under GMA to have land designated for agricultural uses within their jurisdictions, but Redmond has chosen not to have an "Agriculture" designation in its Comprehensive Plan. The Urban Recreation land use designation (LU-60) and UR zoning permit agriculture – as well as other uses, such as ball fields.	
	Public Comment:	
	PC Comments: 3/2: Commissioner Miller closed this item, noting his acceptance of staff's recommended modifications and pending reconciliation to follow the City Council action regarding a zoning designation change from Agricultural to Urban Recreation. 2/16: Commissioner Gregory noted that the Urban Recreation policy, LU-60, might be	
	the most suitable place to address this issue. Commissioner Hinman suggested that this issue most closely relates to LU-20. Commission Miller expressed interest in exploring this issue more completely and agreed to work with staff toward resolution.	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
3. As above, are there	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened 2/9/11,
examples of	2/23: This issue is held open for the review of Commissioner Biethan.	discussed 2/16/11,
implementation that		closed 3/2/11
could illuminate LU-5	2/16: The Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code currently include a variety of	
that encourages infill	programs and opportunities that support implementation of policy LU-5, such as: small	
development? Does this	lot short plats, cottage housing, multiplex structures, innovative housing, and affordable	
policy impact possible	housing, and transfer of development rights. The regulations governing a particular site,	
innovative approaches to	including neighborhood criteria, determine the applicability of the various	
design and/or	implementation measures.	
development?		
(Biethan, Miller)	Looking to one part of the City in particular, the North Redmond neighborhood	
	"wedge" subarea, several of these programs, combined with a special overlay zone,	
Policy as stated in	permit an increased density of dwellings in balance with preserving critical areas and	
update:	associated buffers. Design is often subjective and flexibility over a long-term permits	
LU-5 Encourage infill	innovations and emerging trends. Policy LU-5 provides flexibility by calling for infill	
development on suitable	and redevelopment projects to be compatible with their surroundings, rather than a	
vacant parcels and	particular type of development.	
redevelopment of		
underutilized parcels.	Public Comment:	
Ensure that the height,		
bulk, and design of infill	PC Comments:	
and redevelopment	3/2: Commissioners Flynn reflected direction from Commissioner Biethan and	
projects are compatible with their surroundings.	concurred with Commissioner Miller to close this item without additional modification.	
	2/16: Planning Commission was satisfied with staff response but held on closing the	
	issue to provide an opportunity for Commissioner Biethan to review.	
	2/9: Commissioners Biethan and Miller requested additional definition or examples	
	along with a determination whether the encouragement of infill development is	
	implemented by way of a policy or procedure. Commissioner Miller added his concern	
	whether this policy discourages creative or innovative approaches to design and development.	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
4. Are all uses treated the	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened 2/9/11,
same regarding access to	3/2: Staff recommends maintaining the currently proposed amendments regarding	discussed 2/16/11,
services, this is related to	commercial land use in consideration of the City Council's current review of	closed 3/9/11
Neighborhood	Neighborhood Commercial land use and zoning designation. If necessary to maintain	
Commercial (NC)	consistency with citywide goals, additional reconciliation may follow to reflect the	Reconciliation list
changes currently in	Council's action on April 5, 2011.	
progress, see LU-36?		
How does the City	2/16: The following images include zoning designations in the vicinity of BP, MP, and I	
ensure that residential	zones. Adjacent to the clusters of these zones, a variety of zoning designations	
neighborhoods remain	supplement the currently permitted uses within the BP, MP, and I zones.	
stable and a balance is		
achieved regarding	Willows/Rose Hill and Sammamish Valley vicinity:	
denser commercial uses		
in the Urban Centers,	NE 124th ST NE 124th ST NE 124th ST	
page 30?	46 NE 122nd ST	
(Biethan, Miller) Policy as initially proposed: LU-36 Maintain and enhance a well-distributed system of commercial uses that serve the needs of residential neighborhoods, workplaces, and the greater Redmond community. Encourage commercial land uses that support or provide services to adjacent land uses, to encourage non-	NE 130th ST NE 14th ST NE 15th ST NE 15	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
Issue/Commissioner motorized travel.	Permitted uses (including respective criteria and/or special regulations) within the MP, and I zones include uses also permitted in neighborhood commercial zones (bon NC type and including respective criteria and/or special regulations). Business Park zones Automobile sales, service, or rental	BP,
	administrative services Full-service, cafeteria, or limited-serv restaurants Bar or drinking place	rice
	Manufacturing Park zones Automobile sales, service, or rental	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes		Issue Status
		Real estate services	
		Heavy, durable, and other consumer	
		goods	
		Professional and administrative services	
		Full-service, cafeteria, or limited-service	
		restaurants	
	Industrial zones	Bar or drinking place	
	industrial zones	Automobile sales, service, or rental Professional services	
		Full-service, cafeteria, or limited-service	
		restaurants	
		Caterers	
		Catciers	
	the Planning Commission at the 3/2: Commissioner Miller reco consideration by Commissione with staff on February 22 nd to r	sponse to the issue. Staff shared this information with e 3/9 meeting. Planning Commission closed the issue. Immended closing this item pending additional reliethan. Commissioner Biethan clarified his question effect his concern whether the policy should support a mmercial uses throughout the City.	
	neighborhood character and ex- commercial development in the information to help determine v commercial centers, or a more	d Miller asked whether this policy intends to maintain isting land uses in the context of supporting denser city's two urban centers. They requested additional whether small commercial nodes, neighborhood general distribution of commercial uses is preferred by	
	way of policy		
	LU-36. Commissioner Miller a	added his concern regarding a citywide support of	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Iss	ue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
		commercial uses, particularly in Business Park, Industrial, and Manufacturing Park	
		zones in support of access to services during the work day.	
5.	* - What is the City's	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Closed
	parking strategy and	2/23: Please refer to the issue matrix for the Transportation Element, issue #14.	2/16/11
	does it include public parking facilities?	Public Comment:	
	(Biethan)	PC Comments:	
		2/16: Planning Commission closed this issue noting that it is represented for discussion	
		and resolution in the issue matrix for the Transportation Element.	
6.	Should policy address	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened 2/9/11,
	healthy food, LU-6?	2/16: Staff recommends maintaining this policy noting that it does not preclude access	discussed 2/16/11,
	Does the definition of	to other foods and beverages. County and regional planning policies also recommend	closed 3/2/11
	"healthy food" differ	including support for such opportunities. Staff also recommends establishing an	
	from person to person?	associated definition later this year through the Comprehensive Plan update process.	Reconciliation list
	(Gregory)	The City's exament effort in comming out the Communities Detting Demonstrate Work	
	Doliov og initially	The City's current effort in carrying out the <i>Communities Putting Prevention to Work</i> , <i>Healthy Eating/Active Living</i> grant includes defining this term. King County offers a	
	Policy as initially proposed:	suggestion of "un-processed foods, fruit, vegetables, whole grains, low fat dairy, etc."	
	LU-6 Provide	as a definition of healthy food. Additionally, the USDA adopted the "Dietary"	
	opportunities for shops,	Guidelines for Americans, 2010" on January 31, 2011. The guidelines refer to "nutrient	
	services, recreation, and	dense foods and beverages" and define them as follows:	
	access to healthy food	"nutrient dense—Nutrient-dense foods and beverages provide vitamins, minerals, and	
	sources within walking	other sub-stances that may have positive health effects, with relatively few calories. The	
	or bicycling distance of	term "nutrient dense" indicates the nutrients and other beneficial sub-stances in a food	
	homes, work places, and	have not been "diluted" by the addition of calories from added solid fats, added sugars,	
	other gathering places.	or added refined starches, or by the solid fats naturally present in the food. Nutrient-	
		dense foods and beverages are lean or low in solid fats, and minimize or exclude added	
		solid fats, sugars, starches, and sodium. Ideally, they also are in forms that retain	
		naturally occurring components, such as dietary fiber. All vegetables, fruits, whole	
		grains, seafood, eggs, beans and peas, unsalted nuts and seeds, fat-free and low-fat milk	
		and milk products, and lean meats and poultry—when prepared without solid fats or	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	added sugars—are nutrient-dense foods." Source:	
	http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/Publications/DietaryGuidelines/2010/PolicyDoc/Appendices	
	<u>.pdf</u> , pg 94	
	Puget Sound Regional Council "VISION 2040 recognizes the important relationship between a healthy environment – both the natural and built environment – and healthy people. As a result, health issues, including environmental health, pollution exposure, and transportation's impact on health, are addressed throughout VISION 2040." Source: http://www.psrc.org/assets/4543/Appendix_E_Complete.pdf , pg E1-14	
	Public Comment:	
	PC Comments: 3/2: Commissioner Gregory closed this item, describing his support for the countywide planning policy direction.	
	2/9: Commissioner Gregory noted his concern whether policy should address healthy food. He added that the term "healthy" when applied to food, in particular, can indicate a different meaning to different people.	
7. Should policy address	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened 2/9/11,
physical activity in LU-	2/16: Staff plans to address Commissioner Gregory's concern in combination with the	discussed 2/16/11,
17.1 in a manner that	Parks, Arts, Recreation, Culture, and Conservation Element and to provide additional	closed 3/2/11
helps establish	recommendation at the Commission's February 16 th meeting. Associated modifications	
opportunities?	will be reserved for the Comprehensive Plan amendment reconciliation.	Reconciliation list
(Gregory)		
	Public Comment:	
Policy as initially		
proposed:	PC Comments:	
Incorporate health into	3/2: Similar to issue #6, Commissioner Gregory closed this item in support of the	
local decision-making by	countywide planning policy direction.	
locating, designing, and		
operating public	2/9: Commissioner Gregory asked how the City would implement the tools described in	
facilities and services in	policy LU-17.1 and suggested addressing the intent as providing opportunities for	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
a manner that: Provides tool such as educational and demonstration programs that help foster a healthy environment, physical activity and well being, and public safety.	physical activity and well-being along with examples of such.	
8. What is the definition of diversity, as reference in the narrative of the residential section? Should diversity address lifestyles and sustainability more so than housing type? (Miller)	Staff Comment/Recommendation: 3/2: Based Commissioner Miller's suggestion, staff recommends substituting the word, "variety," for the word, "diversity" in order to remove unnecessary confusion from the policies, LU-33 and LU-34. 2/23: Staff seeks clarification from Commissioner Miller regarding the definition of lifestyle. 2/16: Staff supports this refinement and will carry out modifications prior to the Planning Commission's approval of amendments to the Land Use Element. Public Comment: PC Comments: 3/2: Commissioner Miller closed this item and noted his support for staff's recommended substitution of "variety" for "diversity" when used to describe residential portions of the City such as in policies LU-33 and LU-34. 2/9: Commissioner Miller requested using the term "neighborhood diversity" to indicate other varieties of lifestyle and the way in which each neighborhood implements aspects of sustainability.	Opened 2/9/11, discussed 2/16/11, closed 3/2/11

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
9. * - Consistency in	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened 2/9/11,
terminology – neighborhoods,	2/16: Staff has noted this request and plans to address during Comprehensive Plan amendment reconciliation. (See also UC issue #9).	discussed 2/16/11, closed 3/2/11
subareas, zones, districts, and others. (Hinman)	Public Comment: PC Comments: 3/2: The Commission recommended closing this item in the context of staff's ongoing management of editorial comments.	Reconciliation list
10. Ensure consistency between Land Use and Urban Planning	Staff Comment/Recommendation: 2/16: Staff plans to address this item during the Commission's concurrent review of the Urban Center's Element, reflecting subsequent amendments, as necessary, in the Land Use and Neighborhoods Elements.	Opened 2/9/11, discussed 2/16/11, closed 3/2/11
Elements, particularly regarding the single-family residential portion of the Overlake	Public Comment:	Reconciliation list
neighborhood plan. (<i>Hinman</i>)	PC Comments: 3/2: The Commission also recommended closing this item in relation to staff's ongoing work to address editorial comments as well as concurrent work to amend the Urban Centers Element.	
	2/9: Commissioner Hinman requested addressing the Overlake neighborhood regarding references to the urban center portion, the three sub-areas, and the northern single-family, residential portion to help ensure consistency throughout the Comprehensive Plan.	
11. How can the proposed	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened
new policy, LU 17.1, be modified to ensure that	2/23: A governing principle of the Comprehensive Plan update is to create policy that	2/16/11, discussed
every citizen has easy	can be implemented in a predictable and measurable manner. The location of community gardens, pea patches, and corporate gardens, and the like will evolve as a	2/16/11, closed 3/2/11
biking and walking	function of private and public effort and investment. The establishment of these	
access to a community	gardens is likely to result in a random distribution throughout Redmond.	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
garden?		
	The recommended policy <i>supports</i> a distribution of gardens, rather than <i>ensures</i> an	
The proposed LU 17.1	equal distribution. Also, multiple policies of the proposed Comprehensive Plan update	
reads:	call for equitable access to goods and services including community gardens, via	
	multiple modes of transportation.	
Incorporate health into local		
decision-making by	Staff recommends the following amendment to policy LU-17.1 (previously	
locating, designing and	recommended as an addition to the Land Use Element, new language underlined):	
operating public facilities		
and services in a manner	LU 17.1 Incorporate health into local decision-making by locating, designing and	
that	operating public facilities and services in a manner that:	
 Uses sustainable 	Uses sustainable building and development practices;	
building and	Encourages walking and bicycling access to public facilities;	
development	Supports creation of community gardens such as pea patches on public open	
practices;	space in accessible locations throughout Redmond; and	
 Encourages walking 	Provides tools such as educational and demonstration programs that help foster a	
and bicycling access	healthy environment, physical activity and well being, and public safety.	
to public facilities;		
Supports creation of		
community gardens	Public Comment:	
such as pea patches	2/16: Cindy Jayne, representing Sustainable Redmond shared the group's interest in	
on public open	ensuring that "every citizen has easy biking and walking access to" community gardens.	
space; and		
 Provides tools such 	PC Comments:	
as educational and	3/2: The Commission supported staff's recommended modification to policy LU-17.1	
demonstration	and closed this item.	
programs that help		
foster a healthy		
environment,		
physical activity and		
well being, and		
public safety.		

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
(Cindy Jayne, Sustainable Redmond)		
12. Are the new growth target numbers for 2030 supported by an analysis of what the natural resources of Redmond can sustainably support? (Cindy Jayne, Sustainable Redmond)	Staff Comment/Recommendation: 2/23: The growth target numbers for 2030 assume no change to the current zoning for Redmond, that is, no change in allowed density of residential or commercial development. The growth target numbers are less than the capacity for growth allowed by current zoning. The current zoning for Redmond was approved incrementally through many, separate legislative processes. When considering changes to zoning and updates to growth targets, Redmond takes into account multiple factors, including the potential general impacts to the natural environment, such as impacts to critical areas and other natural resources and the potential general impacts to the capacity of public facilities and services. Redmond's Planning Commission and City Council apply this information when approving zoning changes. Yes, in this manner, the growth targets for 2030 are supported by consideration of Redmond's natural resources. Public Comment: 2/16: In written comments, Ms. Jayne offered this example: "if Las Vegas was to plan to grow significantly, on might argue that the lack of water in the area would make that an unsustainable place." PC Comments: 3/2: The Commission closed this item, maintaining the growth target numbers as recommended.	Opened 2/16/11, discussed 2/16/11, closed 3/2/11
13. Do the proposed, updated Comprehensive Plan policies encourage	Staff Comment/Recommendation: 3/2: Staff recommended closing this item having received additional definition for "community gardens". Editorial modifications will help clarify differences between	Opened 2/16/11, discussed 2/16/11, closed 3/2/11

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
the creation of farm	agricultural uses, farm land, community gardens, and pea patches.	
lands, protect the new		Reconciliation list
and existing farm lands	2/23: The policies of the proposed, updated Comprehensive Plan do not directly	
from any environmental	encourage the creation of farm lands. The policies do support the preservation of	
damage or loss of	existing farm land and encourage small-scale farming in the form of community	
productivity due to	gardens, pea patches, etc Agriculture is an allowed use in the Urban Recreation, Open	
development of	Space, and Semi-Rural designations of the Comprehensive Plan.	
surrounding areas, and		
encourage, foster and	Staff recommends maintaining existing policy that offers various levels of support and	
facilitate the food	encouragement regarding food systems such as community gardens. Additional	
processing and delivery	consideration of food systems will also occur along with later amendments as part of the	
from farms to the local	2010-2011 periodic review of the Comprehensive Plan. However, staff recommends	
farmers markets and	considering a process such as advisory opportunities as a component of the CPPW	
other local outlets	HEAL grant for considering applicability and implementation of Ms. Jayne's request.	
accessible by residents?	For example, policy LU-20 includes "Encouraging businesses, programs, and other uses	
(Cindy Jayne,	that support agricultural uses as part of Redmond's local economy, such as local farmer	
Sustainable Redmond)	markets, community supported agriculture, and other local produce programs" and may	
	help establish the foundation for additional approaches akin to Ms. Jayne's	
	recommendation.	
	Public Comments	
	Public Comment:	
	2/16: Cindy Jayne, representing Sustainable Redmond requested greater encouragement for the creation and preservation of farmlands. Specifically, she noted	
	the group's interest in policy that addresses creating farm lands, protecting the new and	
	existing farm lands for any environmental damage or loss in productivity due to the	
	development of surrounding areas, and encouraging, fostering, and facilitating the food	
	processing and delivery from these farms to the local farmers markets and other local	
	outlets accessible by residents.	
	outlets accessione by residents.	
	PC Comments:	
	3/2: Commissioner Gregory and Miller noted the difference between larger-scale and	
	commercial-based farm land located outside of Redmond's city limits and community	
	gardens as addressed by recommended policy amendments. The Commission closed	
	Dans 40 445	

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes		Issue Status			
	this item and noted the pending re					
	definition and consistent language					
14. LU-1 table – does this	Staff Comment/Recommendation	on:			Opened 2/16/11,	
table provide for	2/23: The proposed growth target	discussed 2/16/11,				
sufficient amount of	forecasted for Redmond by the Pu	closed 3/2/11				
commercial square	City's commitment through the K					
footage, as projected to	estimates that approximately two-					
2030, in anticipation of	developed in Overlake and Downt	town, and of that, th	ne majority will	occur in Overlake.		
Overlake high-tech development? (Don	The targets also reflect the amount	t of novy commercia	al davalanment	planned for		
Marcy, representing	The targets also reflect the amount of new commercial development planned for Overlake through the 2007 neighborhood plan update and the amount analyzed in the					
Microsoft Corporation)	associated Supplemental Environr					
wileroson corporation)	of 4.5 million square feet of comm					
	1	1	1			
	Finally, staff would like to note th					
	and report annually through the Co					
	opportunity for ongoing review of					
	recommends maintaining policy L					
	Table LU-1					
	Redmond Development					
	2010 Addai and 2030 Glowin Ta	2010 Actual and 2030 Growth Target				
		0040		2030		
		2010	Increase	Growth Target		
	Dwelling Units	25,000	12,000	36,500		
	Commercial Space (Million GFA)	29.0	11.2	40.2		
	Please refer to issue #12 above for					

^{*}Denotes a cross-over item

Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
	Public Comment: 2/16: Don Marcy, representing Microsoft Corporation noted his concern that the table contained in policy LU-1 may not reflect the long-term commercial development interest of Redmond's high-tech companies such as Microsoft and Nintendo, particularly in the Overlake neighborhood.	
	PC Comments: 3/2: Commissioner Gregory closed this item and noted his support of staff's response to Mr. Marcy's question.	
	2/16: Commissioner Gregory requested that staff double-check that the proposed growth targets take into account the concerns of business for future commercial space.	
15. *- LU-3 map – should this map expand to include properties west of SR-520 that are	Staff Comment/Recommendation: 2/23: Staff notes that this map is recommended to move to the Urban Centers Element and should be addressed as part of that element's discussion. See issue 35 on the Urban Centers matrix.	Opened 2/16/11, discussed 2/16/11, closed 3/2/11
currently owned/operated by Microsoft and Nintendo, for example? (Don Marcy, representing Microsoft Corporation)	Public Comment: 2/16: Don Marcy, representing Microsoft Corporation requested that map LU-3 Overlake Urban Center also include those lands owned and operated by Overlake neighborhood's high-tech companies including Microsoft and Nintendo. The parcels of interest lay to the west of SR-520.	
	PC Comments: 3/2: The Commission closed this item reflecting the concurrent issue regarding the Urban Centers Element (described in issue #35 of the respective issue matrix).	

N:\PLANNING\Comp Plan Update Periodic 2010-11\Land Use Element\Planning Commission\IssuesMatrix_LandUseElement_March30.docx