Issue/Commissioner	Discussion Notes	Issue Status
1. Should the term WI-FI be	<b>Staff Comment/Recommendation:</b>	Opened
used in the text of policy EV-	9/28/11: Staff agreed that a general reference to technology consistent with language used	9/21/11
18?	in both the PARCC and Utilities Elements would be preferred, so as to not identify one	
	form of technology over others. (See proposed edit).	
(issue #12 on Reconciliation		
Table)	Public Comment:	
	PC Comments:	
	9/21/11: The Planning Commission questioned the use of the term WI-FI in policy EV-	
	18.	
2. Is the issue of parking	Staff Comment/Recommendation:	Opened
sufficiently addressed in the	9/28/11: Staff identified various Comprehensive Plan elements that address the issue of	9/21/11
Comprehensive Plan?	parking. The primary locations are within the Transportation Element (policies TR-19, 20	
1	& 21) and the Urban Centers element (UT-24, UC-25 and DT-43, for example). As part	
	of Council's review of the Package 1 elements, a Councilmember asked about Redmond's	
See TR-19, 20 and 21; also UC-	plan for parking and whether the Comprehensive Plan adequately articulates the City's	
24, DT-29, 40, OV-43, 44, 45	overall parking philosophy. Here is the staff explanation given at that time (June, 2011):	
(1.00)		
(issue #17)	Citywide parking policies are found in TR-19, 20, and 21. Parking planning and	
	management are most important in Redmond's urban centers due to higher residential and	
	employment densities. In the urban centers, the philosophy underpinning parking	
	management strategies is that urban centers are people-oriented places first, that	
	clustered/shared parking is more efficient than piecemeal parking, parking should be	
	coordinated with access to transit and other modes, and that the on-street parking supply	
	should be managed for customers of urban center businesses. These ideas are manifest in	
	the policies noted at left. Staff believes the Transportation parking policies are broadly	
	consistent with the Urban Center policies. At the same time, staff welcomes suggestions	
	from Councilmembers to help make the underlying philosophy clearer to the reader.	
	At the time of Package 1 review Council agreed to maintain policies as proposed and to	
	further discuss parking strategies as part of the Transportation Master Plan update. Staff	
	also recommends that approach.	
	and recommends that approach.	
	Public Comment:	
	1 uone comment.	

PC Comments:  9/21/11: Is the issue of adequate parking sufficiently addressed in the Comprehensive Plan?	